

## Phytochemical Content of Some Black (*Morus nigra* L.) and Purple (*Morus rubra* L.) Mulberry Genotypes

Sezai Ercisli<sup>1\*</sup>, Murat Tosun<sup>2</sup>, Boris Duralija<sup>3</sup>, Sandra Voća<sup>3</sup>, Memnune Sengul<sup>4</sup> and Metin Turan<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ataturk University, TR-25240 Erzurum, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Oltu Vocational School, Ataturk University, TR-25240 Erzurum, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Zagreb University, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ataturk University, TR-25240 Erzurum, Turkey

<sup>5</sup>Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ataturk University, TR 25240 Erzurum

Received: February 23, 2009

Accepted: July 9, 2009

### Summary

Bright black (*Morus nigra*) and purple mulberry (*Morus rubra*) are particularly desirable fruits in Turkey. More recently, the interest in these bright black and purple mulberry fruits has also increased because of the popularization of healthy properties of these fruits. The study was carried out in 2008 aiming to determine the antioxidant activity (ferric reducing ability of plasma, FRAP), total phenolic, total anthocyanin, mineral, soluble solid, vitamin C, and total acid content of four black and four purple mulberry genotypes grown in Turkey. The results show that black mulberry genotypes have a higher bioactive content than purple mulberry genotypes. The average total phenolic content and total anthocyanins of black mulberry genotypes were 2149 µg of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per g and 719 µg of cyanidin 3-glucoside equivalent (Cy 3-glu) per g of fresh mass. In purple mulberry, these values were for GAE 1690 µg/g and for Cy 3-glu 109 µg/g on fresh mass basis. The average antioxidant activity of black mulberry genotypes was also found to be higher than that of the purple ones according to FRAP assay (Trolox equivalent (TE) per fresh mass of black and purple mulberries was 13.35 and 6.87 µmol/g, respectively).

*Key words:* black and purple mulberry, antioxidant activity, mineral elements

### Introduction

There is a great interest in determining the role of phytonutrients in promoting better health and to reduce cancer, cardiovascular diseases and the effects of aging. It is widely believed that antioxidant phytonutrients can inhibit the propagation of free radical reactions that may ultimately lead to the development of diseases, especially those which are ageing-related. Analysis in several laboratories shows that many fruits and vegetables have strong antioxidant capacities, and that this capacity is due primarily to non-vitamin C phytochemicals (1,2).

Dark-coloured fruits, particularly berries (strawberry, raspberry, blackberry, blueberry, mulberry, *etc.*) are recognized as being healthy. In addition, there is increasing interest in pigment components of this group of fruits that may improve human health or lower the risk of disease (3).

The black and purple mulberries are widely cultivated in the Mediterranean and Middle Eastern countries (4). Located at the junction of the Middle East and the Mediterranean, Turkey has important black and purple mulberry populations and the cultivation of these fruits has been known for more than 400 years (5). The

\*Corresponding author; Phone: ++90 442 2312 599; Fax: ++90 442 2360 958; E-mail: sercisli@hotmail.com

bright black and purple mulberry fruits, which have a very pleasant taste when eaten fresh, are also used in jams, juices, liquors, natural dyes as well as in the cosmetics industry (6).

Black and purple mulberry fruits have also been effectively used in folk medicine in Turkey for a long time to treat fever, protect liver from damage, strengthen the joints, facilitate discharge of urine and lower blood pressure (7). Recently, black and purple mulberries have gained an important position in the local soft drink market, although their biological and pharmacological effects are still poorly defined. These fruits are also widely consumed by the inhabitants of the rural parts of Turkey. Therefore, the focus of the present study is on phytochemical components and antioxidant activity of four black and four purple mulberry genotypes.

## Materials and Methods

### *Collection and preparation of black and purple mulberry fruit samples*

A total of eight mulberry genotypes, four black (*Morus nigra* L.) and four purple mulberry (*Morus rubra* L.) species grown in Coruh Valley were used in the present study. Approximately 1 kg of fresh fruits per genotype were collected at peak ripeness and quickly transported to the laboratory at Ataturk University, where fruit samples were analyzed immediately. The fruits were mashed in a homogenizer and prepared for further analyses. Four replicates were used per analysis. The parameters analyzed were: soluble solid content (SSC), total acidity, ascorbic acid (AsA), total phenolics (TP), total anthocyanins (TA) and antioxidant capacity (AC).

### *Determination of total soluble solids, total acidity and ascorbic acid in black and purple mulberry fruits*

A total of 30 fruits were taken for each replication on which analyses were performed. Soluble solid content expressed as percentage was determined by a digital refractometer (Model RA-250HE Kyoto Electronics, Kyoto, Japan). Total acidity was determined by AOAC method (8) and expressed as percentage. Ascorbic acid was quantified with the reflectometer (Reflectometer RQflex<sup>®</sup>, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Results were expressed as mg of ascorbic acid (AsA) per 100 mL.

### *Determination of total anthocyanins, total phenolic content and total antioxidant capacity in mulberry fruits*

Before extraction, fresh black and purple mulberry fruits were homogenized in a house blender and analytical determinations were carried out on fruit homogenates. The homogenates were used to evaluate the total anthocyanins (TA), total phenols (TP) and total antioxidant capacity (TAC). The TA content was determined using bisulphate bleaching method (9). Results were expressed as  $\mu\text{g}$  of cyanidin 3-glucoside equivalent per g of fresh mass. TP content was estimated using the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method described by Ough and Amerine (10). Concentration of TP was expressed as  $\mu\text{g}$

of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per g of fresh mass. TAC was estimated by using ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) (11) and the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazil (DPPH) assays to measure the free radical scavenging capacity of fruit extracts (12). Results were expressed in  $\mu\text{mol}$  of Trolox equivalents per g of fresh mass for both FRAP and DPPH assays.

### *Determination of mineral elements*

Fruit samples were oven-dried at 68 °C for 48 h and ground to pass through 1-mm sieve. The Kjeldahl method (13) and a Vapodest 10 Rapid Kjeldahl Distillation Unit (Gerhardt, Königswinter, Germany) were used to determine total nitrogen. Phosphorus content was determined after wet digestion using an  $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4$  acid mixture (4:1 by volume) (8). Phosphorus in the extraction solution was measured spectrophotometrically using the indophenol-blue and ascorbic acid method with a UV/VIS Aquamat Spectrophotometer (Thermo Electron Spectroscopy Ltd, Cambridge, UK). K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were determined after wet digestion using an  $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4$  acid mixture (4:1 by volume) with a PerkinElmer 360 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Results for the minerals (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Fe, Zn and Mn) were expressed in mg per 100 g of fresh mass.

### *Statistical analysis*

The experiment was a completely randomized design with four replications. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test at  $p < 0.05$  significance level. SAS procedure was used as a statistical program.

## Results and Discussion

### *Soluble solid content, vitamin C and total acidity in the black and purple mulberry fruits*

The SSC, vitamin C and total acidity of black and purple mulberry genotypes are shown in Table 1, where statistical differences in the amounts of these components, both within purple and black mulberry genotypes can also be seen.

SSC in black mulberry genotypes varied from 16.95 (MN3) to 18.40 % (MN1) with an average of 17.63 %, while in purple mulberry genotypes it ranged from 14.38 (MR3) to 15.11 % (MR1) with an average of 14.87 %, indicating lower average values than in the black mulberry. Previous studies had shown that purple mulberry had lower soluble solid content than black mulberry (14,15). Soluble solid content of mulberry fruits grown in different agroclimatic regions of Turkey is between 15.27–30.80 % (16,17), and our SSC results are generally within limits of these studies.

The average vitamin C content in black and purple mulberries was 20.79 and 18.87 mg per 100 mL, respectively (Table 1). Fruit species can be classified into three groups (low, moderate and high) in terms of their vitamin C content (18) and mulberries are generally placed within the moderate vitamin C content group. Lale and Ozcagiran (16) reported that vitamin C content in black

Table 1. Phytochemical composition of black and purple mulberry genotypes

| Species            | Genotypes | $w(\text{SSC})$ | $\gamma(\text{vitamin C})$ | Total     | $w(\text{total anthocyanin as Cy 3-glu})$ | $w(\text{total phenolics as GAE})$ | $w(\text{FRAP as TE})$ | $w(\text{DPPH as TE})$ |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                    |           | %               | mg/100 mL                  | acidity % | $\mu\text{g/g}$                           | $\mu\text{g/g}$                    | $\mu\text{mol/g}$      | $\mu\text{mol/g}$      |
| <i>Morus nigra</i> | MN1       | 18.40a          | 22.60a                     | 1.64ab    | 787a                                      | 2483a                              | 14.11a                 | 21.17                  |
|                    | MN2       | 17.10b          | 18.10b                     | 1.93ab    | 674c                                      | 1826d                              | 12.26d                 | 16.22                  |
|                    | MN3       | 16.95b          | 21.35ab                    | 1.97a     | 723b                                      | 2200b                              | 13.94b                 | 19.87                  |
|                    | MN4       | 18.05a          | 21.10ab                    | 1.81b     | 693c                                      | 2087c                              | 13.10c                 | 18.64                  |
| Mean value         |           | 17.63           | 20.79                      | 1.84      | 719                                       | 2149                               | 13.35                  | 18.98                  |
| <i>Morus rubra</i> | MR1       | 15.11a          | 19.02ab                    | 1.04b     | 81c                                       | 1584d                              | 4.93d                  | 9.22                   |
|                    | MR2       | 14.97ab         | 18.71ab                    | 1.10ab    | 118a                                      | 1713b                              | 7.61b                  | 12.06                  |
|                    | MR3       | 14.38b          | 18.12b                     | 0.96ab    | 106b                                      | 1674c                              | 6.82c                  | 11.41                  |
|                    | MR4       | 15.02ab         | 19.64a                     | 1.07ab    | 132a                                      | 1789a                              | 8.12a                  | 12.15                  |
| Mean value         |           | 14.87           | 18.87                      | 1.06      | 109                                       | 1690                               | 6.87                   | 11.21                  |

Values in the same column with different lower case letters are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$

and purple mulberries was 16.6 and 11.9 mg/100 mL, which is in accordance with our results.

The total acidity of black mulberry genotypes was between 1.64 and 1.97 %, whereas these values were between 0.96 and 1.10 % in purple mulberry genotypes. The average total acidity of black mulberries was 1.84, and of purple mulberries 1.06 %. It can be said that purple mulberries have lower acidity compared to black mulberries. However, when considering SSC and acidity together, it can be said that black mulberry may be recommended for fresh fruit production since it has attractive large fruits and combines high fruit SSC and acid content, which gives them a pleasant taste.

#### Total anthocyanins, total phenolic content and total antioxidant capacity in black and purple mulberry fruits

The differences in total anthocyanins, total phenolic content and total antioxidant capacity among different genotypes within the same species were found to be statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 1). The total anthocyanin content per fresh mass of black mulberry (*Morus nigra*) genotypes ranged from 674 (MN2) to 787 (MN1) Cy 3-glu  $\mu\text{g/g}$ , and from 81 (MR1) to 132 (MR4) Cy 3-glu  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for purple (*Morus rubra*) genotypes (Table 1). The results also showed that average anthocyanin content of black mulberries was 7 times higher than that of purple mulberries. The genotype seems to affect the total anthocyanin content in the berries. According to earlier reports, total anthocyanin content in purple and black mulberries was 99 and 571 Cy 3-glu  $\mu\text{g/g}$  (15).

The results for total phenolics clearly showed that fruits of black mulberry (*Morus nigra*) (1826–2483 GAE  $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) had a higher total phenolic content than those of the purple mulberry (*Morus rubra*) (1584–1789 GAE  $\mu\text{g/g}$ ), see Table 1. Earlier reports had shown that the total phenolic content in mulberry fruits was between 1515–2570 GAE  $\mu\text{g/g}$  (3,19). The difference between mulberry genotypes and between species in terms of phenolics is supposed to be a genetic characteristic because all plants were grown under the same agroclimatic conditions.

The effect of genotype within the same fruit species on total phenolic content is well documented by several researchers on apples and strawberries (20,21), sea buckthorns (22) and cornelian cherries (23).

The antioxidant activity (FRAP and DPPH assays) in berries of different black and purple mulberry genotypes is shown in Table 1. A statistically significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) was found between the samples with both methods used. In FRAP assay, it was found that black mulberry genotypes had the average antioxidant activity of 13.35 and purple mulberry genotypes of 6.87 TE  $\mu\text{mol/g}$ . The order of antioxidant capacities expressed as TE  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  in FRAP assay within black and purple mulberry genotypes was MN1 (14.11) > MN3 (13.94) > MN4 (13.10) > MN2 (12.26) > MR4 (8.12) > MR2 (7.61) > MR3 (6.82) > MR1 (4.93) (Table 1). The FRAP value of antioxidant-rich berry fruits was 82.3 in bilberry, 73.5 in blackcurrant, 43.1 in elderberry, 50.7 in blackberry, 21.7 in strawberry, 17.8 in red currant, 14.5 in gooseberries and 2.0–26.5 TE  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  in vegetables including pepper, kale, parsley, spinach, celery, onion, radish, lettuce, tomato, garlic, cucumber and squash (24). It can be said that mulberries are richer in antioxidants than vegetables, and close to gooseberries and red currant.

In DPPH assay, the genotypes belonging to black and purple mulberry genotypes revealed parallel trend to FRAP assay. The order of antioxidant capacities expressed as TE  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  in DPPH assay within black and purple mulberry genotypes was MN1 (21.17) > MN3 (19.87) > MN4 (18.64) > MN2 (16.22) > MR4 (12.15) > MR2 (12.06) > MR3 (11.41) > MR1 (9.22) (Table 1). These results indicate that black mulberries had higher antioxidant capacity than purple mulberries. In fact, in recent years, the number of black mulberry orchards has increased in Turkey because of higher demand by consumers. In contrast, the number of purple mulberry trees decreased. According to these results, it can be said that mulberries have moderate antioxidant activity among berry fruits. Previous studies on mulberries also concluded that mulberry fruits had moderate antioxidant activity (3), which supports our findings.

*Mineral content of black and purple mulberry fruits*

The mineral content of black and purple mulberry genotypes is shown in Table 2. The statistical differences between the genotypes were observed based on N, P and K contents (Table 2). The average N, P and K values per 100 g in black mulberry genotypes were 800, 289 and 1005 mg, respectively, while in purple mulberry genotypes they were 690, 242 and 929 mg, respectively (Table 2). Data obtained from black and purple mulberry genotypes show that they have very high nutritional potential, and their nutritional value is greater than that of some cultivated fruits presented in Table 3 (25). There is a growing interest in the mineral content of foods and diets. Experiments in cell culture and in intact organisms reveal the importance of macro and trace elements in many metabolic processes and functions throughout the life cycle. Human as well as animal studies have shown that optimal intake of elements such as sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, manganese, copper, zinc, and iodine could reduce individual risk factors, including those related to cardiovascular diseases (26). As in most vegetarian diets, protein quality and quantity are major concerns. Most plants contain in-

complete proteins, but combining different plant foods (nutrient supplementation) may improve the situation. Lack of adequate proteins, either in quality or quantity contributes to low body mass, growth retardation in children, and developmental deficiency during pregnancy. The average adult requires approx. 0.8 g of protein per kg of lean body mass per day to maintain normal functions, and so a person weighing 70 kg needs approx. 56 g of protein daily. To a certain extent, the use of black or purple mulberry genotypes in a diet may contribute to filling the protein gap. Calcium eases insomnia and helps regulate the passage of nutrients through cell walls. Iron deficiency in infants can result in impaired learning ability and behavioural problems. With respect to their Ca and Fe content, the mulberry genotypes considered in this study may offer a better nutritional potential. Potassium is essential for the body's growth and maintenance. Many studies suggest a relationship between high dietary K intake and lower blood pressure and protection from the risk of stroke (27,28). Similarly zinc, a trace mineral that is especially important for the normal functioning of the immune system, is relatively abundant in black and purple mulberry genotypes in comparison with some cultivated fruits.

Table 2. Mineral content of black and purple mulberry genotypes

| Species            | Genotype | <i>w</i> /(mg/100 g) |                   |                   |                   |                   |                  |                 |                 |                 |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                    |          | N                    | P                 | K                 | Ca                | Mg                | Na               | Fe              | Mn              | Zn              |
| <i>Morus nigra</i> | MN1      | 680 <sup>b</sup>     | 314 <sup>b</sup>  | 1314 <sup>a</sup> | 145 <sup>ns</sup> | 114 <sup>ns</sup> | 55 <sup>ns</sup> | 5 <sup>ns</sup> | 6 <sup>ns</sup> | 3 <sup>ns</sup> |
|                    | MN2      | 910 <sup>a</sup>     | 334 <sup>a</sup>  | 922 <sup>b</sup>  | 138               | 107               | 64               | 6               | 7               | 2               |
|                    | MN3      | 880 <sup>ab</sup>    | 291 <sup>c</sup>  | 912 <sup>b</sup>  | 135               | 111               | 60               | 5               | 6               | 4               |
|                    | MN4      | 740 <sup>ab</sup>    | 218 <sup>d</sup>  | 873 <sup>b</sup>  | 129               | 98                | 51               | 5               | 8               | 4               |
| Mean value         |          | 800                  | 289               | 1005              | 137               | 108               | 58               | 5               | 7               | 3               |
| <i>Morus rubra</i> | MR1      | 710 <sup>ns</sup>    | 226 <sup>bc</sup> | 1118 <sup>a</sup> | 169 <sup>a</sup>  | 97 <sup>ns</sup>  | 43 <sup>ns</sup> | 5 <sup>ns</sup> | 5 <sup>ns</sup> | 4 <sup>ns</sup> |
|                    | MR2      | 620                  | 243 <sup>bc</sup> | 773 <sup>d</sup>  | 118 <sup>b</sup>  | 89                | 47               | 4               | 5               | 4               |
|                    | MR3      | 740                  | 198 <sup>c</sup>  | 961 <sup>b</sup>  | 173 <sup>a</sup>  | 93                | 51               | 5               | 5               | 3               |
|                    | MR4      | 700                  | 301 <sup>a</sup>  | 862 <sup>c</sup>  | 110 <sup>b</sup>  | 84                | 39               | 4               | 6               | 2               |
| Mean value         |          | 690                  | 242               | 929               | 143               | 91                | 45               | 5               | 5               | 3               |

Values in the same column with different lower case letters are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$

<sup>ns</sup>not significant

Table 3. Mineral content of some selected fruits compared to black and purple mulberries (25)

| Fruits             | <i>w</i> /(mg/100 g) |      |      |      |     |     |     |
|--------------------|----------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
|                    | K                    | Ca   | Mg   | P    | Fe  | Mn  | Zn  |
| <i>Morus nigra</i> | 1005                 | 137  | 108  | 58   | 5   | 7   | 3   |
| <i>Morus rubra</i> | 929                  | 143  | 91   | 45   | 5   | 5   | 3   |
| Apple              | 158                  | 9.5  | 7    | 9.5  | *   | *   | *   |
| Avocado            | 1204                 | 22   | 78.4 | 82.4 | 2   | *   | *   |
| Banana             | 467                  | 7    | 43   | 27   | 0.4 | *   | *   |
| Blackberries       | 282                  | 46   | 28   | 30   | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Grapes             | 176                  | 13   | 4.6  | 9    | 0.4 | *   | *   |
| Kiwi               | 588                  | 46   | 53   | 71   | 0.7 | *   | 0.3 |
| Mango              | 323                  | 20.7 | 18.6 | 22.8 | 0.3 | *   | *   |
| Orange             | 237                  | 52   | 13   | 18   | *   | *   | *   |
| Peach              | 193                  | 5.0  | 69   | 12   | *   | *   | *   |

\*Trace amount

Magnesium is needed for bones, proteins, making new cells, activating B vitamins, relaxing nerves and muscles, preventing blood clotting, and for energy production. Due to the high content of K, P and Mg, the black and purple mulberry genotypes could meet the daily K, P and Mg requirements of an adult.

## Conclusion

The present study reveals that black and purple mulberry genotypes have relatively high nutritional potential and a wide variation was observed among genotypes in terms of nutrient contents. The data obtained from black and purple mulberry genotypes also show that their mineral content was greater than that of some other cultivated fruits. This could be important for breeding activity of mulberries. It can be concluded that black mulberry fruits are an inexpensive source of a number of nutrients, they provide macro- and microminerals and have a suitable taste and colour in diets used for human nutrition.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful for the partial support from COST 863 (TUBITAK-TOVAG 105-O-354).

## References

- H. Wang, G. Cao, R.L. Prior, Oxygen radical absorbing capacity of anthocyanins, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 45 (1997) 304–309.
- R.L. Prior, G. Cao, A. Martin, E. Sofic, J. McEwen, C. O'Brien *et al.*, Antioxidant capacity as influenced by total phenolic and anthocyanin content, maturity, and variety of *Vaccinium* species, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 46 (1998) 2686–2693.
- J.Y. Lin, C.Y. Tang, Determination of total phenolic and flavonoid contents in selected fruits and vegetables, as well as their stimulatory effects on mouse splenocyte proliferation, *Food Chem.* 101 (2007) 140–147.
- T.G. Tutin: *Morus* L. In: *Flora Europaea*, Vol. 1. *Psilotaceae* to *Platanaceae*, G.T. Tutin, N.A. Burges, A.O. Chater, J.R. Edmondson, V.H. Heywood, D.M. Moore, D.H. Valentine, S.M. Walters, D.A. Webb (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Port Melbourne, Australia (1996).
- S. Ercisli, A short review of the fruit germplasm resources of Turkey, *Gen. Res. Crop Evol.* 51 (2004) 419–435.
- S. Ercisli, E. Orhan, Some physico-chemical characteristics of black mulberry (*Morus nigra* L.) genotypes from North-east Anatolia region of Turkey, *Sci. Hortic.* 116 (2008) 41–46.
- T. Baytop: *Therapy with Medicinal Plants in Turkey*, Istanbul University Publication No. 3255, Turkey (1984) (in Turkish).
- Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists International (AOAC), Gaithersburg, MD, USA (2005).
- P. Ribéreau-Gayon, E. Stonestreet, Determination of anthocyanins in red wine, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 9 (1965) 2649–2652 (in French).
- C.S. Ough, M.A. Amerine: *Methods for Analysis of Musts and Wines*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA (1988) pp. 187–188.
- I.F.F. Benzie, J.J. Strain, The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of antioxidant power: the FRAP assay, *Anal. Biochem.* 239 (1996) 70–76.
- W. Brand-Williams, M.E. Cuvelier, C. Berset, Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* 28 (1995) 25–30.
- J.M. Bremner: Nitrogen–Total. In: *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3: Chemical Methods* (SSSA Book Series No. 5), D.L. Sparks (Ed.), SSSA, Madison, WI, USA (1996) pp. 1085–1122.
- S. Ercisli, E. Orhan, Chemical composition of white (*Morus alba*), red (*Morus rubra*) and black (*Morus nigra*) mulberry fruits, *Food Chem.* 103 (2007) 1380–1384.
- M. Özgen, S. Serçe, C. Kaya, Phytochemical and antioxidant properties of anthocyanin-rich *Morus nigra* and *Morus rubra* fruits, *Sci. Hortic.* 119 (2009) 275–279.
- H. Lale, R. Ozcagiran, A study on pomological, phenologic and fruit quality characteristics of mulberry (*Morus* sp.) species, *Derim*, 13 (1996) 177–182 (in Turkish).
- M.M. Aslan, Selection of promising mulberry genotypes from Malatya, Elazığ, Erzincan and Tunceli region of Turkey, *MSc Thesis*, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey (1988).
- I. Karacali: *The Storage and Handling of Horticultural Crops*, Ege University, Agricultural Faculty, Turkey (2000) (in Turkish).
- S.H. Bae, H.J. Suh, Antioxidant activities of five different mulberry cultivars in Korea, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* 40 (2007) 955–962.
- J. Scalzo, A. Politi, N. Pellegrini, B. Mezzetti, M. Battino, Plant genotype affects total antioxidant capacity and phenolic contents in fruit, *Nutrition*, 21 (2005) 207–213.
- S. Voča, N. Dobričević, V. Dragović-Uzelac, B. Duralija, J. Družić, Z. Čmelik, M. Skendrović Babojelić, Fruit quality of new early ripening strawberry cultivars in Croatia, *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* 46 (2008) 292–298.
- S. Ercisli, E. Orhan, O. Ozdemir, M. Sengul, The genotypic effects on the chemical composition and antioxidant activity of sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) berries grown in Turkey, *Sci. Hortic.* 115 (2007) 27–33.
- K.U. Yilmaz, S. Ercisli, Y. Zengin, M. Sengul, E.Y. Kafkas, Preliminary characterisation of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) genotypes for their physico-chemical properties, *Food Chem.* 114 (2009) 408–412.
- B.L. Halvorsen, K. Holte, M.C.W. Myhrstad, I. Barikmo, E. Hvattum, S.F. Remberg, A.B. Wold, K. Haffner, H. Baugerød, L.F. Andersen, Ø. Moskaug, D.R. Jacobs, R. Blomhoff, A systematic screening of total antioxidants in dietary plants, *J. Nutrition*, 132 (2002) 461–471.
- Minerals Chart (<http://www.healthalternatives2000.com/minerals-nutrition-chart.html>).
- W. Mertz, Trace minerals and atherosclerosis, *Fed. Proc.* 41 (1982) 2807–2812.
- W.E. Splittstoesser: *Vegetable Growing Handbook*, Avi Publishing Company Inc, Westport, CT, USA (1990).
- D.E. Williams, *Lycianthes moziniana* (Solanaceae): An underutilized Mexican food plant with 'new' crop potential, *Econ. Bot.* 47 (1993) 387–400.